

### REMARKS


Supplementing the After-Final Amendment dated April 22, 2008 hereby entered with the attached Request for Continued Examination, Applicant provides additional remarks in response to the Advisory Action and Final Office Action.

Upon review of the Examiner's comments directed toward the prior date of the instant application, Applicant's agree with the Examiner that the date of September 27, 2001 was mistakenly provided in error, with no intention to deceive the Office. Applicants therefore wish to the thank the Examiner for recognizing this oversight.

With reference to page 30 of the final Office Action, the Examiner states that paragraph [0013] of the cited reference anticipates the limitation of "b) encoding the normalized XML schema using a metachema". The portion of the claim has been modified to require "encoding the normalized XML schema to an encoded XML schema using a metachema by the BiM method." This limitation is not disclosed in the reference. Use of the BIM method can be found, for example, in paragraphs 14 and 15 and Fig. 1.

In view of the above, Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance. An indication of the same is solicited. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge deposit account 02-1818 for any fees which are due and owing, referencing Attorney Docket No. 112740-1052.

Respectfully submitted,  
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLP

BY   
Kevin R. Spivak  
Reg. No. 43,148  
Customer No. 29177

Dated: May 22, 2008